arXiv:1110.0065v1 [gr-qc] 1 Oct 2011

Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking Vacuum Energy in Cosmology

Kang Zhou[1], Rui-Hong Yue[2*], Zhan-Ying Yang[1†] and De-Cheng Zou[1]

[1] *Department of Physics, Northwest University, Xi'an, 710069, China*

[2] *Faculty of Science, Ningbo University, Ningbo 315211, China*

(Dated: October 4, 2011)

In present paper the gravitational effect of spontaneous symmetry breaking vacuum energy density is investigated by subtracting the flat space-time contribution from the energy in the curved space-time. We found that the remain effective energy-momentum tensor is too small to cause the acceleration of the universe although it satisfies the characteristic of the dark energy. However it could provide a promising explanation to the puzzle why the gravitational effect produced by the huge symmetry breaking vacuum energy in the electroweak theory has not been observed, since it has a sufficient small value (smaller than the observed cosmic energy density by a factor 10^{32}).

I. INTRODUCTION

The observational data of Supernovae Type Ia (SN Ia) accumulated by the year 1998 have shown that the present universe is accelerating [1], [2]. The source for this late-time cosmic acceleration was dubbed "dark energy". Despite many years of research [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], its origin has not been identified yet. Dark energy is distinguished from ordinary matter species in the sense that it has a negative pressure [3]. The simplest candidate for dark energy is the so-called cosmological constant Λ, whose energy density remains constant. From the viewpoint of particle physics, the cosmological constant appears as vacuum energy density. The cosmological constant problem had been investigated long before the discovery of dark energy in 1998. In 1989, Weinberg pointed out that possible candidates for vacuum

---

* Email:yueruihong@nbu.edu.cn

† Email:zyyang@nwu.edu.cn

energy density can be the summing of zero-point energies of all normal modes of fields up to a cut-off scale of the momentum, or the vacuum expectation value of the energy density in a certain state which has spontaneous symmetry breaking [8]. If we believe general relativity up to the Planck scale and take $\Lambda \simeq (8\pi G)^{-1/2}$ as the cut-off scale, the zero-point energy would give $\rho_{vac} \simeq 2 \times 10^{71} GeV^4$. On the other hand, the vacuum expectation value of the energy density in electroweak theory would give $\rho_{vac} \simeq -g(300GeV)^4$ while g is the coefficient of the $(\phi^\dagger\phi)^2$ term in Higgs Lagrangian. Even for g as small as α^2 with α the fine structure constant, it would yield $|\rho_{vac}| \simeq 10^6 GeV^4$. Hence, two candidates are all much larger than the observed value of the total energy density of the present universe: $\rho \simeq 10^{-47} GeV^4$ [8]. It is problematic. Furthermore, a nature puzzle would be why the gravitational effect produced by such huge vacuum energy density has not been detected.

Recently, Maggiore proposed a new method to calculate the zero-point fluctuations of quantum fields in cosmology [9]. In the Hamiltonian formulation of the general relativity, the energy associated to an asymptotically flat space-time with metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ is related to the Hamiltonian H_{GR} by $E = H_{GR}[g_{\mu\nu}] - H_{GR}[\eta_{\mu\nu}]$, where the subtraction of the flat-space contribution is necessary to get rid of an otherwise divergent boundary term [10]. The classical result indicates that the energy associated to flat space-time does not gravitate. The definition of standard ADM mass is based on such principle. Maggiore applied this principle to study the effective zero-point energy, proposing that their contribution to the dynamic of the universe is obtained by computing the vacuum energy of quantum fields in a FRW space-time and subtracting from it the flat space-time contribution. Although the remained energy density after doing cut-off procedure is not compatible with the present observations of dark energy, his concept provided a new credible method to calculate the vacuum energy density.

We apply such idea to study the spontaneous symmetry breaking vacuum energy density in an electroweak theory in the background of a spatially flat FRW space-time, and explore its gravitational effect. After subtracting the energy density in the flat space-time, the effective energy-momentum tensor satisfies the characteristic of the dark energy. However, we find that the value of such vacuum energy density is much smaller than the observed cosmic energy density by a factor 10^{32}, therefore it could not be regarded as a candidate for dark energy. Nevertheless, this effective vacuum energy density with so small value provides a promising interpretation why the gravitational effect of the symmetry breaking vacuum energy have not been detected, since its effect on gravity can be neglect while comparing to the observed cosmic energy.

This paper is organized as follows. The subject of Sec II is to calculate the effective symmetry breaking vacuum energy density by Maggiore's method. In Sec III, we investigate the gravitational effect produced by such vacuum energy density, and try to explain why the symmetry breaking vacuum energy has not been observed. Sec IV is devoted to discussions and conclusions.

II. SPONTANEOUS SYMMETRY BREAKING VACUUM ENERGY DENSITY

The WMAP 5-year data constrain that the contribution of the curvature of present universe to be only $-0.0175 \sim 0.0085$ [11], while the total contribution which contains matter, dark energy and curvature is equal to 1. Hence, for simplicity, we consider only the case of a spatially flat FRW space-time. In the preferred coordinate system, the metric takes the form [12], [13]

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t)\delta_{ik}dx^i dx^k. \tag{1}$$

It is convenient to introduce the conformal time $\eta \equiv \int \frac{dt}{a(t)}$ instead of the cosmic time t. With this new coordinate the metric. (1) becomes into

$$ds^2 = a^2(\eta)\eta_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu, \tag{2}$$

and it is obvious that the metric is conformally equivalent to the Minkowski metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$. Let us consider the effective vacuum energy density with spontaneous symmetry breaking in such a space-time. Since we only concentrate the present universe, the electroweak phase transition in the early universe will be neglected.

In the electroweak theory, the Lagrangian density of Higgs scalar fields takes the form of [14], [15]

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\phi^\dagger_{,\mu}\phi_{,\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\mu^2\phi^\dagger\phi - \frac{\lambda}{4}(\phi^\dagger\phi)^2 \tag{3}$$

with $\mu^2 < 0$, $\lambda > 0$. Putting the Higgs field into the above conformal flat space-time, the action reads

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \int d^3x d\eta [\frac{1}{2}a^2\phi^{\dagger\prime}\phi' - \frac{1}{2}a^2\nabla\phi^\dagger\nabla\phi \\ &- \frac{1}{2}\mu^2 a^4\phi^\dagger\phi - \frac{\lambda}{4}a^4(\phi^\dagger\phi)^2 + ba^4\xi R\phi^\dagger\phi], \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where the prime denotes derivatives with respect to the conformal time η. The condition that $\phi_{;\mu} = \phi_{,\mu}$ for scalar fields has been used. The term $b\xi R\phi^\dagger\phi$ describes the interaction between the Higgs field and the gravitational field [16]. Here b is a positive number, ξ is the parameter of coupling of Higgs and gravitational fields, and R is the scalar curvature of the external gravitational field. In the following calculation, the term $ba^4\xi R\phi^\dagger\phi$ will be absorbed into the mass via $\hat{\mu}^2 = \mu^2 - 2b\xi R$, since in the present homogeneous, isotropic universe the $R = 6(\ddot{a}/a + \dot{a}^2/a^2)$ keeps small and almost invariant. Meanwhile $b\xi$ is a small coupling coefficient and ensures $\hat{\mu}^2$ is still negative.

Introducing auxiliary fields as $\varphi = a(\eta)\phi$ and $\varphi^\dagger = a(\eta)\phi^\dagger$, we can rewrite the action Eq. (4) in terms of φ and $\varphi^\dagger$ as

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \int d^3x d\eta [\frac{1}{2}\varphi^{\dagger\prime}\varphi' - \frac{1}{2}\nabla\varphi^\dagger\nabla\varphi \\ &- \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\mu}^2 a^2 - \frac{a''}{a})\varphi^\dagger\varphi - \frac{\lambda}{4}(\varphi^\dagger\varphi)^2]. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

That is, the action of fields ϕ and $\phi^\dagger$ in curved FRW space-time equivalently to the ones of φ and $\varphi^\dagger$ in flat Minkowski space-time. Thus, the Lagrangian density of new system can be written as

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}} = \frac{1}{2}\varphi^{\dagger\prime}\varphi' - \frac{1}{2}\nabla\varphi^\dagger\nabla\varphi - \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\mu}^2 a^2 - \frac{a''}{a})\varphi^\dagger\varphi - \frac{\lambda}{4}(\varphi^\dagger\varphi)^2, \tag{6}$$

and the Hamiltonian density is

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathcal{H}} &= \frac{\partial\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}}{\partial\varphi'}\varphi + \varphi^\dagger\frac{\partial\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}}{\partial\varphi^{\dagger\prime}} - \widetilde{\mathcal{L}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\varphi^{\dagger\prime}\varphi' + \frac{1}{2}\nabla\varphi^\dagger\nabla\varphi \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\mu}^2 a^2 - \frac{a''}{a})\varphi^\dagger\varphi + \frac{\lambda}{4}(\varphi^\dagger\varphi)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

The vacuum was defined as an eigenstate of the Hamiltonian with the lowest possible energy. In the case under consideration the Hamiltonian depends explicitly on time and thus does not possess time-independent eigenvectors. Nevertheless, given a particular moment of time η_0 we can still define the instantaneous vacuum $|0_{\eta_0}\rangle$ as the lowest-energy state of the Hamiltonian $H(\eta_0)$. The classical Hamiltonian has an extrema at the moment η_0 if $\varphi'\Big|_{\eta_0} = \varphi^{\dagger\prime}\Big|_{\eta_0} = 0$, $\nabla\varphi\Big|_{\eta_0} = \nabla\varphi^\dagger\Big|_{\eta_0} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial V}{\partial\varphi}\Big|_{\eta_0} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial\varphi^\dagger}\Big|_{\eta_0} = 0$, with $V(\varphi^\dagger,\varphi) = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\mu}^2a^2 - \frac{a''}{a})\varphi^\dagger\varphi + \frac{\lambda}{4}(\varphi^\dagger\varphi)^2$. For $\hat{\mu}^2 < 0$, $\lambda > 0$, the solution of Higgs fields satisfies

$$\varphi^\dagger(\eta_0)\varphi(\eta_0) = \frac{-\hat{\mu}^2a(\eta_0)^2 + a''(\eta_0)/a(\eta_0)}{\lambda}. \tag{8}$$

Consequently, the vacuum expectation value of the energy density in classical approximation is given by

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{min}(\eta_0) = -\frac{(-\hat{\mu}^2a(\eta_0)^2 + a''(\eta_0)/a(\eta_0))^2}{4\lambda}. \tag{9}$$

Thus the physical vacuum energy regarded as the total minimum energy of the system is

$$\begin{aligned} E_{vac}(\eta_0) &= \int d^3x\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{min}(\eta_0) \\ &= \int d^3x\sqrt{-g(\eta_0)}[-\frac{(-\hat{\mu}^2 + \frac{a''(\eta_0)}{a(\eta_0)^3})^2}{4\lambda}], \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

which leads to a vacuum energy density

$$\rho_{vac}(\eta_0) = -\frac{(-\hat{\mu}^2 + a''(\eta_0)/a(\eta_0)^3)^2}{4\lambda}. \tag{11}$$

Obviously, this conclusion is appropriate for arbitrary moment η. Since $d^3xd\eta\sqrt{-g}$ is an invariant volume element, it is equivalent to the element $d^4x\sqrt{-g} = d^4x$ in the Minkowski space-time. That is, we only need to compare the vacuum energy density ρ_{vac} and ρ'_{vac} while comparing the total vacuum energy E_{vac} in the FRW space-time and E'_{vac} in the Minkowski space-time.

Follow Maggiore's viewpoint, the vacuum energy in the flat space-time has no contribution to the dynamic of gravitational system, which should be subtracted from the vacuum energy density in the FRW space-time. The vacuum energy density in the electroweak theory in the Minkowski space-time is $\rho'_{vac} = -\frac{\mu^4}{4\lambda}$. Hence, we get the effective vacuum energy density in cosmology is

$$\rho_{eff} = \rho_{vac} - \rho'_{vac} = \frac{2\mu^2(2b\xi R + a''/a^3) - (2b\xi R + a''/a^3)^2}{4\lambda}. \tag{12}$$

It illustrates that the effective vacuum energy density ρ_{eff} depends on the value of parameters μ^2 and λ. Since the Higgs particle has not been found yet, these two values remain unclear. However, the value of $\sqrt{-\mu^2/\lambda}$ which is the vacuum expectation value of the Higgs field in the electroweak theory has been obtained as $\langle\phi\rangle \simeq 300GeV$ [8]. If we assume the value of the the term $(a''/a^3)^2$ is very low and neglect it, the value of ρ_{eff} could be calculated with given $a(t)$. The rationality of such an assumption will be discussed in the next section.

In particle physics, it is licit to adding a term V_0 to the Lagrangian Eq. (3) with V_0 a constant, the physical effect in scattering processes would not be modified. Clearly, the contribution of V_0 has been canceled in present approach. Hence, the result about the effective vacuum energy density is independent on V_0.

The energy-momentum tensor of scalar fields in curved space-time is [17], [18]

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \phi^\dagger_{,\mu}\phi_{,\nu} - g_{\mu\nu}(\frac{1}{2}g^{\rho\sigma}\phi^\dagger_{,\rho}\phi_{,\sigma} - V(\phi^\dagger,\phi)). \tag{13}$$

For the vacuum state, which implies $\varphi'\Big|_{\eta_0} = \varphi^{\dagger\prime}\Big|_{\eta_0} = 0$, $\nabla\varphi\Big|_{\eta_0} = \nabla\varphi^\dagger\Big|_{\eta_0} = 0$ and $\varphi^\dagger\varphi\Big|_{\eta_0} = \frac{-\hat{\mu}^2a^2+a''/a}{\lambda}$, it is straightforward to obtain the non-vanishing components $T^i_i = T^0_0 = \rho_{vac}$ and $T'^i_i = T'^0_0 = \rho'_{vac}$. Subtracting the flat space-time contribution from the energy-momentum tensor in curved space-time, we get $\rho_{eff} = -p_{eff}$. Consequently, the energy-momentum tensor of the vacuum with symmetry breaking satisfies the characteristic of the dark energy. However, the expression of such energy density Eq. (12) is dependent on time, which could not be regarded as the cosmological constant.

III. GRAVITATIONAL EFFECT

In this section, we apply such vacuum energy-momentum tensor to the expanding universe, and consider its physical effect to the gravitational system. The Einstein equation $G_{\mu\nu} \equiv R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}$ in the spatially flat FRW space-time gives

$$\begin{aligned} H^2 &= \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho, & (14) \\ \dot{\rho} &+ 3H(\rho+p) = 0, & (15) \end{aligned}$$

where $H \equiv \dot{a}/a$ is the Hubble parameter. The dot represents a derivative with respect to the cosmic time t. We ignore the contribution of the radiation, and regard the present universe as an ideal fluid which contains the non-relativistic matter (include the dark matter) satisfying $p_M = 0$ and the dark energy satisfying $p_{DE} = \omega_{DE}\rho_{DE}$. From the combined analysis of SN

Ia, CMB, and BAO, the WMAP group obtained the bound $-1.097 < \omega_{DE} < -0.858$ [11]. Hence, We assume $\omega_{DE} = -1$, then Eq. (14) and Eq. (15) take the form of

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}(\rho_M + \rho_{DE}), \tag{16}$$

$$\dot{\rho}_M + \dot{\rho}_{DE} + 3H\rho_M = 0. \tag{17}$$

Remark that the matter and the dark energy do not satisfy the energy-momentum conservation equation Eq. (15) respectively. Instead, we only require the summation of them to satisfy the total conservation equation Eq. (17). In other words, we permit the transformation between the matter and the dark energy since particles could be created from the vacuum in quantum field theory in curved space-time [19], [20]. From Eq. (16) and Eq. (17), we get

$$\dot{H} = 4\pi G\rho_{DE} - \frac{3}{2}H^2 = \frac{3}{2}H^2(\Omega_{DE} - 1), \tag{18}$$

where $\Omega_{DE} \equiv \rho_{DE}/(\rho_{DE} + \rho_M)$. If the expression of ρ_{DE} is given, one can obtain the exact solution of $H(t)$ by solving Eq. (18). On the other hand, we can calculate the present value of $\dot{H}_0$. The present Hubble parameter is recognized as $H_0 = 2.1332h \times 10^{-42}GeV$ with $h = 0.72 \pm 0.08$ [21], and the combined data analysis have provided the following constraint for the present density parameter of dark energy which is $\Omega_{DE(0)} = 0.726 \pm 0.015$ [11]. Thus, we have $\dot{H}_0 = \frac{3}{2}H_0^2(\Omega_{DE(0)} - 1) = -9.6955 \times 10^{-85}GeV^2$.

We now consider whether ρ_{DE} could be the symmetry breaking vacuum energy density ρ_{eff}. From Eq. (12), we know the energy density ρ_{eff} is negative. Combining Eq. (16) and Eq. (17), we get

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3}(\rho_{DE} + \rho_M + 3p_{DE}). \tag{19}$$

If the density of the dark energy ρ_{DE} is equal to ρ_{eff}, $\ddot{a}$ is evidently negative and is to indicate an decelerate expansion of the present universe. It is of course problematic. As we will show, the contribution of ρ_{eff} to ρ_{DE} is small enough to be ignored. It is worthy to point out that the term $(2b\xi R + a''/a^3)^2$ in Eq. (12) is assumed to be sufficiently small and to be neglected, to have $\rho_{eff} = \frac{2\mu^2(2b\xi R + a''/a^3)}{4\lambda}$. In fact, using the relation $d\eta = dt/a(t)$ and $R = 6(\ddot{a}/a + \dot{a}^2/a^2)$ we get

$$\rho_{eff} = \frac{\mu^2}{2\lambda}(12b\xi + 1)(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2}). \tag{20}$$

We can neglect the term $12b\xi$ since $b\xi$ is a small coupling coefficient. Substituting $H \equiv \dot{a}/a$ into Eq. (20) we obtain

$$\rho_{eff} = \frac{\mu^2}{2\lambda}(2H^2 + \dot{H}). \tag{21}$$

Note that ρ_{eff} is proportional to $H^2(t)$ since $\dot{H} = \frac{3}{2}H^2(\Omega_{DE} - 1)$. Using values $\sqrt{-\mu^2/\lambda} \simeq 300GeV$, $H_0 = 2.1332h \times 10^{-42}GeV$ and $\dot{H}_0 = -9.6955 \times 10^{-85}GeV^2$, we get $\rho_{eff} \simeq -1.69 \times 10^{-79}GeV^4$. It is smaller than the observed cosmic energy density $\rho \simeq 10^{-47}GeV^4$ by a factor 10^{32}, therefore it could be ignored. Hence, although the symmetry breaking vacuum energy may have contribution to the dark energy, such contribution is too small to explain the observed value of the dark energy.

A simple calculation shows that $a''/a^3 = 2H^2 + \dot{H} = 3.7485 \times 10^{-84}GeV^2$, which is very small. It is reasonable to neglect the term $(2b\xi R + a''/a^3)^2$ while calculating ρ_{eff}. Unless, the mass of the Higgs particle $m = \sqrt{-2\mu^2}$ is $m \simeq 10^{-42}GeV$. It is clearly impossible since Higgs particles with so small mass should be found easily.

On the other hand, despite our result could not be used to solve the dark energy problem, the small value of ρ_{eff} can be used to explain the puzzle why the symmetry breaking vacuum energy has not been observed. If the vacuum energy density in the flat space-time has not been subtracted, such energy density with the value $|\rho_{vac}| \simeq 10^6GeV^4$ (for the coupling constant as small as α^2), which is larger than the observed cosmic energy density $\rho \simeq 10^{-47}GeV^4$ by a factor 10^{53}, should produce remarkable effect on gravity. The gravitational effect of the vacuum energy is sufficient to determine the evolution of the universe and the contribution of the observed matter could be neglect. However, if we use the new concept which based on the Hamiltonian formulation of the general relativity to calculate the symmetry breaking vacuum energy, then the contribution of the vacuum energy in the flat space-time has been subtracted, and remain a small value which is smaller than the observed cosmic energy density by a factor 10^{32}. Obviously, the gravitational contribution of the symmetry breaking vacuum energy is difficult to detect.

There is another way which does not require the subtraction of the flat space-time contribution to explain such problem. As mentioned above, one can correct the Lagrangian of Higgs fields by adding a term V_0. The absolute energy $-V_0$ would have gravitational effect. One can ensure the value of V_0 by arguing that: the term $-V_0$ cancels the term ρ_{vac} (or ρ'_{vac}) and leads the symmetry breaking vacuum energy to be never observed. However, the principle for deciding the absolute value of V_0 is still absent, we know of no reason why V_0 should has such value rather than another. Adding a constant term V_0 to the electroweak action bears a strong resemblance to adding the cosmological constant term to the Einstein-Hilbert action. As in the dark energy problem, it is necessary to seek the origin of the constant term. Moreover, adding a constant to the Lagrangian of matter fields can be interpreted as changing the zero point of the potential term. Hence, if we calculate the vacuum energy without the subtraction of the flat space-time contribution, the result depends on the zero point of the potential. It means that the gravitational effect will be altered by changing the zero point of the potential, therefore violates our intuition. Oppositely, our explanation does not depend on the value of V_0 since it has been canceled. It does not require an unknown principle to determine V_0 and does not depend on the selection of the zero point of the potential.

IV. DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have investigated the spontaneous symmetry breaking vacuum energy density in the electro-weak theory in the spatially flat FRW space-time by a new method that subtracting the flat space-time contribution from the energy in the curved space-time. As discussed above, such method leads to a remained effective energy density which is independent on the selection of the zero point of the potential term in the Lagrangian of matter fields, therefore is consistent with our intuition. The effective energy density is too small to cause the acceleration of the universe. Therefore, it could not be a promising candidate for the dark energy, although it could has the contribution to the dark energy.

Our result shows that the symmetry breaking vacuum energy density value is proportional to $H^2(t)$. The zero-point energy density calculated by the same principle is also proportional to $H^2(t)$ [9]. Thus, the total vacuum energy density of quantum fields contains the zero-point energy and the symmetry breaking energy, which depends on time. Although the value of the zero-point energy density can be modified by changing the cut-off scale to gain a suitable value of the total vacuum energy density, the time dependence of the total vacuum energy is not compatible with present observations of the dark energy. Thus, the vacuum energy density calculated by Maggiore's method could not solve the dark energy problem.

However, our result is useful to explain the puzzle that the gravitational effect of the symmetry breaking vacuum energy has not been observed. There are two problems leads the quantum field theory to be in conflict with the general relativity, one is why the gravitational effect of the huge zero-point energy density has not been observed, while another one is why the gravitational effect of the huge symmetry breaking vacuum energy density has not been detected. For the second problem, our result can provide a possible explanation to reconcile the electroweak theory with the general relativity.

Acknowledgment This work has been supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China under grant No.10875060, 10975180 and 11047025.

---

[1] A. G. Riess *et al.*, Astron. J. **116**, 1009 (1998).

[2] S. Perlmutter, Astron. J. **517**, 565 (1999).

[3] P. J. E. Peebles and B. Ratrra, Rev. Mod. Phys. **75**, 559 (2003).

[4] T. Padmanabhan, Phys. Rept. **380**, 235(2003).

[5] E. J. Copeland, M. Sami, and S. Tsujikawa, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D. **15**, 1753(2006).

[6] A. Silvestri and M. Trodden, Rept. Prog. Phys. **72**, 096901(2009).

[7] R. P. Caldwell and M. Kamionkowski, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **59**, 397(2009).

[8] S. Weinberg, Rev. Mod. Phys. **61**, 1 (1989).

[9] M. Maggiore, Phys. Rev. D. **83**, 063514 (2011).

[10] R. L. Arnowitt, S. Deser and C. W. Misner, in *Gravitation: An Introduction to Current Research*, edited by L.Witten (Wiley, New York, 1962).

[11] E. Komatsu *et al.*, Astrophys. J. Suppl.**180**, 330(2009).

[12] H. P. Robertson, Ap. J. **82**, 284(1935).

[13] A. G. Walker, Proc. Lond. Math.Soc(2), **42**, 90(1936).

[14] P. W. Higgs, Phys. Rev. Lett. **12**, 123(1964).

[15] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. lett. **42**, 1264(1967).

[16] I. L. Buchbinder and S. D. Odintsov, Yad. Fiz. **42**, 1268 (1985) [Class. Quant. Grav. **2**, 721 (1985)].

[17] L. Parker and S. A. Fulling, Phys. Rev. D. **9**, 341(1974).

[18] S. A. Fulling and L. Parker, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **87**, 176(1974).

[19] S. W. Hawking, Commun. Math. Phys. **43**, 199(1975).

[20] W. G. Unruh, Phys. Rev. D. **14**, 870(1976).

[21] W. L. Freedamn, Astrophys. J. **47**, 553(2001).